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ABSTRACT

Lean construction (LC) is a production system with the potential to deliver exceptional performance within any organisation. LC is a possible solution to the many problems faced by construction Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs). However, Construction SMEs lack the needed resources that constrain their lean implementation efforts. A conceptual framework for capability and capacity building of Construction SMEs is developed based on the Toyota Way model.

This research was conducted using a systematic review of literature. The review suggests there is the need to build the capability and capacity of SMEs to fully adopt the LC philosophy. SMEs provide a challenging context for the implementation of LC due to their lack of the needed resources.

The outcome of this study is to focus attention on building the capability and capacity of Construction SMEs to fully adopt LC. This will help reduce the incidence of high failure rates of LC implementation recorded amongst SMEs. Previous works have concentrated on what SMEs can and should do within their limited capacity. However, the use of isolated tools and practices fail because lean is a system that has to be implemented holistically. A conceptual framework for building the capability and capacity of SMEs for LC adoption is therefore proposed.
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INTRODUCTION
SMEs are critical in the structure of the construction industry (Ofori and Toor 2012) as they form majority of the firms (Gydus-Asiedu 2009; Amoah et al. 2011). In the Ghanaian context, construction SME is a family run domestic contractor with 199 employees as the upper threshold and 10 employees as the lower threshold (Kheni 2008). Small construction firms in Ghana constitute about 95% of contractors, over 90% of the job market, and nearly 80% of all short-term employment (Amoah et al. 2011). Globally, the importance of this critical sector cannot be overemphasized.

In spite of the significant contributions construction SMEs make to the economies of both developed and developing nations, they face a lot of challenges that affect their capacity (Amoah et al. 2011). Accordingly, implementing LC has become a challenge for SMEs (Marasini et al. 2014), as these firms are constrained in terms of the resources (Marasini et al. 2014; Netland 2016). This has led to a concentration on what SMEs can and should do within their available resources. In the work of Rose et al. (2011), the authors argued that SMEs should go in for least costly tools, which is well within their capacity. In other work, such as Matt and Rauch (2013), it was suggested that SMEs should use principles declared to be suitable for them. However, in most cases the use of isolated practices leads to a failure of lean implementation (Lathin and Mitchell 2001). These previous works have fallen short of looking at how SMEs capability and capacity can be built to fully implement the lean philosophy. More so, the benefits of a full implementation cannot be compared with an implementation of just a few tools (Netland 2016; Liker 2004). The study therefore seeks to develop a conceptual framework for capability and capacity building of SMEs for LC adoption.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY
Systematic literature review was utilized because of the rigorous and transparent form of the review (Okoli and Schabram 2010). This study follows the comprehensive stages for the systematic review developed by Tranfield et al. (2003).

The initial descriptors used for the literature search were “Lean construction,” “construction SMEs,” “Lean and construction SMEs. A total of 114 articles were initially identified from the search. However, not all of the 114 papers presented research arguments on the issue of LC and SMEs. The papers were briefly examined to filter out irrelevant articles. A total of 63 papers were finally selected to be valid for the study. A conceptual framework is developed based on the analysis of these selected papers.

LEAN CONSTRUCTION IMPLEMENTATION WITHIN SMEs
LC techniques offer the potential to minimize, if not entirely eliminate, non-value adding activities (Salem et al. 2005). Thus, LC is about the elimination of all non-value-added steps in a process (Arroyo and Gonzalez 2016). Several studies, such as Cho and Ballard (2011), Bhamu and Sangwan (2014) point to the significant benefits of LC to the incidence of low productivity, low quality of works, increased construction cost, low job satisfaction and waste generation within construction processes. LC is a possible solution
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SMEs are generally constrained by their management, resources and organisational culture and structure that affect their Lean efforts (Achanga et al. 2006; Panizzolo et al. 2012). For instance, human resources in SMEs are weak in terms of their knowledge and skills. There are a number of issues that affect SMEs’ ability to recruit, motivate and retain the best of talents (Darkwah 2014). Top management support, commitment and buy-in is a critical success factor in LC implementation (Al-Najem et al. 2012), however top management in SMEs normally will not acknowledge the need for change. It is difficult to succeed in LC without a healthy culture. In UK for instance, only 10% of the firms succeed in their lean implementation efforts. The reasons for such a huge failure are culture and management (Taleghani 2010). LC requires a culture of employee empowerment, teamwork and enhanced relationship with suppliers (Al-Najem et al. 2012). This is mostly lacking within SMEs, as employees are not usually empowered and relationship with suppliers is mostly adversarial. Top down leadership style that is a characteristic of Construction SMEs is a cultural barrier that inhibits lean implementation.

A REVIEW OF LEAN FRAMEWORKS

Over the years there have been a number of models that looked into the possible implementation of lean production principles. Anand and Kodali (2010) examined approximately thirty (30) frameworks for lean production. The authors concluded that none was comprehensive. In view of that, they proposed a framework consisting of 65 lean elements, taking into consideration the shortcomings of the previous frameworks. Chay et al. (2015) posit that the framework developed by Anand and Kodali (2010) is too sophisticated and does not address “why” aspect of the implementation of lean principles. The authors also reviewed frameworks developed between 2010-2013. The study discovered that lean production frameworks developed after the work of Anand and Kodali (2010) has disregarded the soft elements of Lean production. Similarly, Gao and Low (2014) reviewed various frameworks for LC. The authors proposed an alternative framework for LC implementation, taking into consideration all aspects of the organisation (both the social and technical).

Consequently, frameworks have been developed specifically for the SME sector (for instance, Amad-Uddin, 2011; Rose et al. 2011; and Belhadi et al. 2016). The strength of these frameworks lies in its consideration of both the soft and hard aspects of lean implementation. These lean production frameworks developed specifically for SMEs overlook the aspects of capacity building, although authors acknowledge the lack of capacity for lean implementation within the SME sector. These frameworks instead propose feasible lean tools that can be implemented within the limited capacity of SMEs, but failed to look at how SMEs can be supported to fully implement the lean system. Similarly, promoting LC to SMEs and developing the capability and capacity of SMEs to deploy LC has received little attention within the LC Community. Considering the capability and capacity challenges of SMEs, any framework which can be successfully adopted by SMEs should take into consideration the capability and capacity needs of
these firms and where possible support systems to cushion them to adopt these principles. More so, lean is a system that has to be implemented holistically in principles and techniques, therefore there will there be a need for a framework that takes into consideration capability and capacity building of SMEs to fully adopt the lean system.

**DEVELOPMENT OF THE CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK**

In assessing LC frameworks Gao and Low (2014), posit that ‘process-focus’ thinking is still the major focus of the LC frameworks reviewed. This is because the implementation of lean principles on the “shop floor” can result in immediate improvements (Liker2004).

In view of the shortcomings of the LC frameworks, the study proposed the “4P Model” of the Toyota Way. This model was proposed to resolve some of the fundamental limitations of the frameworks that are available within the LC domain. The 4P model of the Toyota Way was introduced as an alternative means of interpreting LC (Gao and Low 2014). This study therefore in developing the conceptual framework for SMEs adopts the “4P Model” developed by Liker (2004) and proposed by Gao and Low (2014) for LC implementation.

**JUSTIFICATION FOR THE USE OF “4P MODEL”**

Many authors have argued that lean is a social and a technical system(Liker 2004; Shah and Ward 2007; Low and Gao 2011). Accordingly, the 4P model would be a suitable choice for LC implementation (Gao and Low 2014). In the application of lean principles, the focus has largely been on the technical aspects of production (Liker 2004), while ignoring the implications for the people related aspects (Green 2002). The technical-aspect fails or underperforms if the social or human related aspects are ignored. This is the case because the real issues during the process are human centered (Chay et al. 2015). In other words, it is also imperative to create a healthier people system. This assertion is in line with Matsui (2007) who suggests that the effectiveness of technical practices is appreciably increased when equally teamed with the soft practices.

The “technical-focused” thinking significantly restricts the degree of improvement that can be achieved (Emiliiani and Stec 2005; Bhasin and Burcher 2006). Accordingly, the “4P model” is a comprehensive model for LC implementation (Liker 2004; Gao 2013; Gao and Low 2014). The “4P model” comprises 14 principles within four layers with each layer serving as an individual model in itself. The next section discusses the “4P model” in detail toward the framework development.

**“4P MODEL” OF THE TOYOTA WAY**

Liker (2004) used a pyramidal model, which comprises an outline of Toyota’s principles. These principles are grouped in four broad categories and each contains important sub-principles:

- Long term Philosophy (Philosophy).
- The right Process will produce the right results (Process).
- Add value to the organization by developing your People and Partners (People/Partners).
- Continuously solving root problems drives organizational learning (Problem Solving).

According to Liker (2004), these four broad categories have fourteen principles. The foundation of the pyramid is the management philosophy, which is based on long-term decisions, even at the expense of short-term financial goals. Following from that are the right processes producing the right results. Ultimately, production flow is standardized and visualized which helps in identifying problems. The next level places respect on people and partners, while challenging and growing them. The last layer of the pyramid is the problem solving philosophy by using various improvement tools such as kaizen and genchigenbutsu (going to see for yourself).

![Figure 1: 4P model of the Toyota Way (Source: Liker, 2004)]

**CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK FOR CAPABILITY AND CAPACITY BUILDING OF SMES**

In developing the framework as can be seen in Figure 2, the 4P Model was used as the basis for LC implementation. LC tools identified in the literature were linked to the model. The next level assesses the status of implementation and challenges in LC implementation by SMEs. This leads to the capability and capacity needs of these SMEs. Following from these needs is developing intervention for capability and capacity building of SMEs. These interventions are considered from the Systematic Approach. The System Approach consists of four levels; the individual, organizational, industry and state level. In essence, the interventions are taken from the level of the individuals to the organisation, then at the industry and finally at the state level. This leads finally to policies and plans for LC implementation by these SMEs. This framework proposes a strategy for a successful LC implementation by SMEs taking into consideration their capability and capacity needs.
The customer of the framework is the construction industry sector. The conceptual framework eventually leads to policies and plans for building the capability and capacity of SMEs for LC adoption. These policies and plans emanating from the needs of SMEs will act as guidelines to the construction industry sector in building the capability and capacity of individual SMEs for broader application of LC principles. Since this framework incorporates the specific needs of SMES, it will give this important and crucial sector more capabilities and capacity for adopting wider LC principles. Ultimately this intervention will lead to an improvement in the performance of SMEs resulting in a huge impact within the industry and the economy of any country.

Figure 2: Conceptual framework for Capability and Capacity Building of SMEs
CONCLUSIONS

The growth of the SME sector for any country is of vital significance irrespective of its state of development. SMEs relevance and importance can be seen in all aspects of the socioeconomic lives of countries. Notwithstanding their contributions to the economies of countries worldwide, SMEs are faced with fundamental problems. This, in effect has led to widespread underperformance within this sector and a high attrition rate. LC as a management philosophy is acknowledged as an established set of principles to help organisations to be effective, efficient and maximize value to clients. Therefore, the adoption of LC within the SME sector is an important topic area to examine especially as there is a lower application. LC implementation within this sector is challenging, as these firms lack the needed resources to fully implement this management philosophy.

Several frameworks have been developed for an easy implementation of LC within this sector. Although these frameworks were developed specifically for this critical sector, it has largely overlooked the elements of capability and capacity building. A successful adoption of lean by construction SMEs will heavily depend on their capability and capacity for easy implementation. To overcome this gap this study has developed a conceptual framework for building the capability and capacity of Construction SMEs to fully adopt the LC philosophy. This will help reduce the high incidence of failure recorded in LC implementation. Eventually leading to an improvement in the construction industry as a whole, as SMEs form majority of firms. This study serves as a basis for an on-going PhD that aims at developing a framework for capability and capacity building of Small and Medium Building Contractors for lean adoption to enhance their performance.
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